Aquaculture: is it the most promising solution to the world hunger crisis? The question I'm investigating is: _____

| Part 1: Evaluating the Source Write the web address here: | | |
|--|--|---------------------|
| | | |
| 2. Authority: Who is the author of this document? What are his/her credentials? Have the authors included their sources? | | |
| 3. Purpose: What is the purpose of this source? | □ to persuade □ to educate □ other | ☐ to sell something |
| 4. Bias: Is only one side of the argument presented? Is it trying to persuade you to change your opinion? Can you tell the facts from opinions? Does it end in a .gov, .edu, or .org? (Usually a good thing) | | |
| 5. Content: Does the site thoroughly cover the topic? Who's the source seem to be created for? (in other words: is it not too hard but not too easy?) When was it last updated? | | |
| Part 2: Answering the Question | | |
| 6. What is the answer to your Aquaculture/World Hunger Question, according to this source? (Should be 3-4 bullet point phrases of <u>main ideas</u>) | | |
| What details does the author include that support the main idea? (evidence—quotes, numbers, specific examples, etc.) | 1 2 | |
| | 3 | |

Part 3: Thinking Bigger 8. What details from this source corroborate (support) what you 1._____ already know or have read? 2._____ 3._____ 9. Which details from this source contradict (go against) what you already know or have read? 10. Do you feel that the question has been answered, or do you have new questions? Does this change how you feel about aquaculture as a promising solution to the world hunger crisis?

Historical Thinking Questions